

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/576,727	05/23/2000	Chad A. Cobbley	3639.1US (97-1383.1)	3108	
7:	590 04/07/2003				
James R. Duzan			EXAMINER		
Trask Britt P O Box 2550			TRINH, M	TRINH, MINH N	
Salt Lake City,	UT 84110	84110			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3729	O_{λ}	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003) L	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	_	NK			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	09/576,727	COBBLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Trinh	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS. cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed I days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	ebruary 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application).				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-17 and 26-34</u> is/are	e withdrawn from consideratio	n.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 18-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminor			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	I9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu		eived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/576,727

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment filed in paper No. 7(dated 2/20/2003) has been fully considered and made of record.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 18-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

 The phrase: "can escape" (claim 1, lines 12, claim 18, lines 13) should be: -escaping-- for clarification of the claimed subject matters. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 18-21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Sakemi et al (US 5,655,704).

Sakemi et al disclose an apparatus for placing a plurality of conductive spheres on a substrate comprising: a stencil plate 4 with upper and lower surfaces and a first pattern of plurality of through holes 4a, said stencil plate configured to place a plurality of conductive spheres 3 in said first pattern on a approximate surface of the substrate 2(see Figs. 3-4); a hopper (=container 12) extending across at least a portion of the upper surface of said stencil plate 4 and closely spaced (=gap between 12 and surface of 4) therefrom to maintain control over all the spheres therein (see Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 28-36) the hopper 12 having a bottom opening with a dimension extending across the

Application/Control Number: 09/576,727

Art Unit: 3729

first pattern for dispersing said spheres into the through holes 4a of the stencil plate 4 and a position apparatus 8 (see Fig. 1) for moving the hopper 12 over the first pattern relative to the stencil plate 4 (see Fig. 4) for place said spheres into said through holes 4a onto the proximate surface of said substrate 2 (see Fig. 4). It is noted that a container 12 of Sakemi et al is readable as a hopper of the instant invention.

As applied to each of claim 2-4 and 6, Sakemi et al teach the spheres drop into and pass downwardly through the through holes by gravitation force as recited in claim 2 (see Fig. 4 which shows the solder balls being gravity feed into the mounting pads of the substrate 2); and the limitations of claims 3-4 and 6 (refer to Fig. 4 and the discussion at col. col. 4, lines 28-36).

As applied to each of claim 7-8, Sakemi et al teach a stencil 4 being placed as a space apart from the substrate 2 (see Fig. 4).

Limitations of claims 19-21 and 23 are similar to the discussion of claims 2-4 and 6 as set forth above.

Limitations of claims 24 and 25 are similar to the discussion of claims 7-8 as set forth above.

5. Claims 5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sakemi et al (US 5,655,704).

As applied to each of claim 5 and 22, Sakemi et al as applied and relied upon above (claims 1 and 18) do not teach the first pattern in which each of its holes diameter is greater than the diameter of each of the spheres by up to 1mm. With respect to the

Art Unit: 3729

above limitations, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired size, shape and configurations since applicant has not disclosed that the first pattern through hole greater than the diameter of each of the spheres by up to 1mm would solve any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the configurations as taught by the applied prior art (see Fig 4, that shows a loose fit between each of the spheres 3 and the associated first pattern holes 4a).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 18-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/576,727

Art Unit: 3729

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7307 for regular communications and (703) 305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

mt

March 29, 2003

PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700